

JAN 27 1966

AN OPEN LETTER

TO THE—President of the United States Hon. Lyndon B. Johnson,
Speaker of the House of Representatives Hon. John W. McCormack
And to Members of the United States Congress.

DEAR SIR:
Five years ago, on JANUARY 12, 1961 I entered the United States with my husband (via: MAIL, MIL. AP. DUVER) after an January 4, 1961 the Right of political Asylum was granted to us by authorized representatives of the United States Government in the United States Consulate-Gen. in WEST-BERLIN. I was forced to flee with my husband to the UNITED STATES for reasons of his voluntarily service for the national security of the U. S. A. and its western Allies, as stated by the CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY in the Memorandum of I&N SERVICE in re: H.R. 5507, that my husband: "has collaborated with the GOVERNMENT in an outstanding manner and under circumstances which have involved grave personal risk. He continues to make major contributions to the national security of the United States. His primary motivation in offering to work with the GOVERNMENT has been and remains his desire to counter the menace of SOVIET COMMUNISM."

Because of our departure 9 members of my family were living in WEST-BERLIN, found themselves in a very difficult situation, and they were during January 1961 evacuated by the authorized representatives of the U. S. GOVERNMENT, from BERLIN into WEST GERMANY, where they are living up to this day.

For reasons as contained in my following lost letters, sent to HON. R. F. FARRELL, Commissioner of I&N and to the HON. M. A. FEIGHAN, House of Representatives, I find myself here in the United States in a very serious and difficult situation, which compels me to this open letter. The last 28 months more than 20 registered letters were sent from my husband as well as from some attorneys at law and myself; all of these letters show the same contents: that we are asking for help and for a settlement of our problem. I am very sorry to have to state that in spite of all these efforts and petitions there are no results up to this day.

I can not accept as a response to me, such a letter of the U. S. I&N S., as received on January 20, 1966 signed by Asst. Commissioner M. T. NORTON. This letter dated January 18, 1966, sent to me just with my rightful family name on the envelope, was addressed in the text by a name, which never was mine. Said letter contained certain contradictions as well as some recitations of arbitrary decisions and had no value for want of attitude to many principal matters.

Incidentally the Congressman, Hon. Michael A. FEIGHAN did not give me any answer to my letter, although I called four times his office and sent him a telegram one week later. To date, am still without any reply of the HON. FEIGHAN.

In consideration of my poor health condition, the blood disease of my husband and in interest of our in the meantime born daughter I am forced now in this way to bring our matters to the attention of the United States Government. In view of the foregoing I am asking herewith the PRESIDENT of the U. S. and the U. S. CONGRESS to induce the settlement of our vital matters as well as the correction of our difficult situation, which is caused by bureaucratic negligence and indifference of certain United States representatives.

I thank you in advance,
Respectfully yours,
IRMGARD MARGARETA ROMANOFF.

In conclusion the text of the last letters as follows:
Reg. mail no: 189031 and no: 189032, insured \$5,000—, dated: Jan. 7, 1966, Spec. del. Ret. R. F. Farrell, Commissioner of I&N, U. S. Department of Justice, Washington, D. C. and Hon. P. A. Esperdy, District Director, U. S. I&N Service, 20 West Broadway, New York, N. Y. 10007.
RE: A.R.C.: A12 727 331.

DEAR SIR:
In accordance with the directive contained in letter dated 4-19-65 from U. S. Department of Justice Immigration & Naturalization Service, signed by E. A. Loughram, Associate Commissioner, on July 7, 1965, now 6 months ago, received by the District Director Mr. P. A. Esperdy of U. S. Immigration & Naturalization Service, N. Y. C. via the attorney at law Mr. J. J. Richmond two applications and replacement of alien registration receipt cards, including the necessary and lawful supporting documents, were submitted to you. I find it not necessary

to repeat everything concerning this matter respectively our absolutely rightful request, because the District Dir. Mr. Esperdy of U. S. I&N S., N. Y. C. is in the possession of every piece of paper which he needs for the settlement of said matter. Just for the correctness I let follow a very short review about the further correspondence regarding our request for replacement of alien registration receipt cards which started after we submitted our applications on July 7, 1965: 1. letter of the ANTI-COMMUNIST ACTION SOCIETY, dated July 16, 1965 to the Associate Commissioner Mr. E. A. Loughram of U. S. I&N S., Washington 25, D. C. 2. letter of the ANTI-COMMUNIST ACTION SOCIETY dated July 16, 1965 to the District Dir. Mr. P. A. Esperdy, U. S. I&N S., N. Y. C. 3. letter of the ANTI-COMMUNIST ACTION SOCIETY dated August 16, 1965 to the Associate Commissioner Mr. E. A. Loughram; 4. letter of the ANTI-COMMUNIST ACTION SOCIETY dated August 16, 1965 to the District Dir. Mr. P. A. Esperdy; 5. letter of my husband ALEKSEI NICHOLAEVICH ROMANOFF, born 1227 331, dated August 16, 1965 to the Hon. R. F. Farrell, U. S. I&N S., sent by reg. mail no: 181915, insured \$10,000—; 6. letter of my husband, dated August 30, 1965 to the Hon. R. F. Farrell, U. S. I&N S., sent by reg. mail no: 182454, insured \$5,000—; 7. telegram to my husband dated September 8, 1965 to the Hon. R. Farrell (as well as other telegrams and interventions by phone in this matter); 8. letter of my husband, dated September 20, 1965 to the District Director Mr. P. A. Esperdy, sent by reg. mail no: 183357, insured \$5,000—; 9. letter of my husband dated October 18, 1965 to the Hon. R. Farrell, sent by reg. mail no: 226428, insured \$5,000—. In spite of this enormous correspondence—always containing the same legal request—during the entire six months we got nothing else as just one letter dated September 21, 1965 of the NOTO, U. S. I&N S., Mr. Marlo T. with the following incredible advice:

"The District Director of this Service in N. Y. C. has advised that, after careful consideration of the evidence submitted, the applications were denied on the basis of the denial were sent to you and your wife and to Mr. J. Barry on August 16, 1965. Inasmuch as the Dist. Director in New York City has jurisdiction over your case, your letters and their enclosures have been referred to him for attention and further response to you . . . Now I ask you, Sirs:

1. Who is the att. John J. Barry?? Neither is this man known to both of us nor have we ever heard about him or gave to him any authorization concerning our matter in reference to the replacement of our alien registration cards. 2. Where are the denials regarding our applications. In reference to the replacement of our alien reg. cards, which should sent to us on August 16, 1965?? We never received any denials.

3. What has the District Director, Mr. A. Esperdy in New York City done since August 16, 1965, who has jurisdiction over our case, who should give to us further response?? What is the reason that no one wants to pay attention to the letter of the Associate Commissioner of the U. S. I&N S. (H.Q.) in Washington, D. C. Mr. E. A. Loughram, dated April 19, 1965?? This letter contained very clearly the official information that the letter of my husband ALEKSEI NICHOLAEVICH ROMANOFF dated March 28, 1965 concerning said matter is acknowledged including the supporting documents. Further, that we have to give the applications regarding our request to the District Dir. in N. Y. C. and the necessary forms for this are by Mr. Loughram attached. I do not believe that the Associate Commissioner of the H.Q. of U. S. I&N S. in Washington, D. C. would have sent such a letter, respectively the application, if he was having some objections to our lawful request for the replacement of our alien reg. cards. The answer in this questions will be very interesting in connection to some other very strange aspects in this matter. And not only for ourselves will be these answers very interesting because there are some other questions:

1. What kind of law in the United States and of the New York State does forbid the use of the surname under which I married my husband in a lawful ceremony solemnized by the authorized and competent official of a legal and lawful Marriage License of the State of New York, and consequently is lawful, supporting documents, were submitted to you. I find it not necessary

is lawful, and documented by Issue of the Extract from the Parish Register on Marriages by the Synod of Bishops of the Church as well as by Issue of Certificate of Marriage Registration of the City Clerk of the City N. Y. as the lawful and authorized representative of the State New York, and consequently recorded for ever.

2. What kind of law in the United States and of the New York State does demand—now already 15 months after our legal Marriage—to use further a temporary assumed cover-name (on Feb. 24, 1961, given to us by some U. S. officials of C. I. A.??)

3. Why is the U. S. Immigration & Naturalization Service of the U. S. Department of Justice, interested to prevent the replacement of our alien reg. cards, while we are already 15 months under the law of the United States and of New York State married, and while also in the mean-time under the same name our daughter, named TATIANA ALEKSEEVNA ROMANOFF, is born and registered in the vital records?? Incidentally she already a citizen of the United States and has a session of her own US Passport under her said rightful family-name.

While other boards of the U. S. Government of the New York State are respecting our rightful family-names and records, including our documents, is certain of the U. S. I&N S.) telling us that we will get a notice of the denial regarding our applications for the replacement of alien reg. cards. Note bene! As already said, we did never receive any denials. In view of all this it is absolutely clear, that such kind of "settlement" on the part of the U. S. I&N S. without any question in a light of real citizens appears. In consequence of this blocking our rightful request to regulate our status, after we are 5 years living in the United States by the Right of political Asylum, are there arose already a lot and harmful repercussions for both of us and also for our baby, for instance: United States (like financial, health, security and other important problems), and offer a tumour operation. It is very important for me to get some recovery by my Family in Germany. Who has the right and who will force me such a necessary travel for me to do with travel-names and dates, and show also an other U. S. A. of my own daughter??

The same reason—amongst other ones—made it impossible to arrange a BAPTISM for our daughter. What kind of law in the United States and in the New York State does permit this enforced situation, not only for us, but also for our baby?? Further more have some officials of the C.I.A. broken the U.S. Contract with my husband and did not arrange for us any health- and life insurance, in order my husband can arrange such coverage for sorry to have the identification cards with the correct names and dates. By this occasion some questions respectively remarks more: What was the reason that some CIA representatives in spring 1963 collected our first alien registration receipt cards in order to change our real arrival-day: JANUARY 12, 1961—in April was taken only for reasons of their own. Very strange is the fact, that in this connection were no objections on part of the U. S. I&N S.—consequently it is much easier to give us false dates as our correct and had we to wait for the first issue of our A.R. cards, from January 1961 until October 1961, i. e. 10 MONTHS?? Is that normal and lawful that people whom the Right of political Asylum is granted have to live nearly 10 months without any papers also without Alien reg. cards??

There are many reasons and aspects more for the replacement of our a.r.r. cards, as you can see from said letters, which my husband sent to you since July 1965. But my intention is not all these matters to emphasize once more. I want just R.I.T.H. the replacement of our a.r.r. cards as requested in our applications as well as in certain letters and telegrams of my husband. In view of the foregoing during the last 10 months I am not willing and also not able to wait any longer. Consequently I am forced to ask you, Dear Sir, to induce the settlement in said matter during the next 10 DAYS after the receipt of this registered letter, other case I am forced to take some action myself. I never married my husband under the name "GOLENIOWSKI" nor did

I ever use this name. Such kind of calling me—as "the Associate Commissioner of U. S. I&N S. in his letter to the Chairman of the ANTI-COMMUNIST ACTION SOCIETY in PHILADELPHIA, PA. Mr. E. A. NEWMAN, dated August 19, 1965, did—is an insolence misrepresentation of facts and an attempt to libelous manipulation against my person.

I hope that you will excuse me for the fact that my knowledge of the English language is somewhat unsatisfactory but I hope also that you will understand the contents of this letter very well.

Sincerely,
(-) Irmgard Margareta Romanoff.

Reg. mail no: 189030 and no: 189031, insured \$5,000—, dated January 10, 1966, Sp. D., R. F. Farrell, Hon. Michael A. FEIGHAN, Chairman of the Subcommittee of Immigration & Naturalization, House of Representatives, U. S. Capitol, Washington, D. C. and copy to his residence, 4000 CATHEDRAL AV., NW, Washington, D. C.
RE: A.R.C.: A12 727 331 (H.R. 5507).

DEAR MR. FEIGHAN:

Enclosed you will find a copy of my letter, dated Jan. 7, 1966, and sent by registered and insured mail for Hon. R. F. Farrell, Commissioner of U. S. I&N S., and Hon. P. A. Esperdy, Dist. Dir. U. S. I&N S., which I am sending you referring to the fact, that you are very well informed—now, since more than two years—about our absolutely unusual and enforced situation. Also you are very familiar with certain reasons which caused this unlucky status. One of the best sources for these informations was a copy of my husband's letter dated June 15, 1963 (reg. and insured mail no. 387113) to the late PRESIDENT of the UNITED STATES, Mr. JOHN F. KENNEDY, which you got for your personal attention and intervention.

As you will remember was this also the principal reason for your first visit with the two officers of the U. S. CONGRESS, Mr. CORSO and Mr. O'CONNOR, in our apartment on August 2, 1963. You discussed with my husband and also with myself many of our problems and difficulties regarding to our life in the United States. We spoke about security and financial problems, about matters in reference to the contract between the C.I.A. and my husband, naturalization, etc., as well as about the fact that the voluntarily and anonymously—also for me at this time—service of my husband for the national security of the U. S. A. and its western Allies, as stated by C.I.A. in the Memorandum of I&N Service in re: H.R. 5507 and also in the Appreciation-Letter of the BRITISH SECURITY SERVICE in re: PF. 776.286/D., forced myself to flee with my husband to the WEST. You left us with many affirmations of your appreciation for our frustration and our difficulties as well as with many promises that everything will be cleared up during a very short time. In spite of the fact, that since that time (now already 28 months after this conversation took place) nothing is cleared up on the contrary: our situation is worse than ever, I am still respecting this personal meeting and said conversation with you, Sir. For that reason it is my obligation to inform you once again about the very poor and lawless conditions under which we have to live as persons to whom the Right of political Asylum in the United States by its GOVERNMENT was granted. One of our principal problems, amongst many other ones, you can see from the enclosed copy of my letters. In consideration of the fact that you, Sir, are the Chairman of the Subcommittee of Immigration & Naturalization of the HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES, I have to ask you also for immediate help. Ultimately I want just to tell you that we are still forced since January 1964, i. e. 3 months after the new U. S. Contract of C.I.A. with my husband, of October 1963 (by your support) was signed—to continue our life, now with our in the meantime born daughter, for \$500.—per month, here in New York City, without any health- and life insurance, without any legal protection from part of the C.I.A. and without any other remunerations contained in the U. S. Contract of October 7, 1963, and promised to us in the name of the U. S. Government. Believing, Dear Sir, in your support and good intention, in order to correct our enforced, poor and lawless situation, I thank you in advance. Please be so kind and acknowledge this letter. I am looking forward to hearing from you soon.

Respectfully yours,
(-) Irmgard Margareta Romanoff
P.O. BOX 20, MURRAY HILL STATION,
NEW YORK, NEW YORK 10016